

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION N	O. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,034 09/09/2003		09/09/2003	Jean-Pascal Zambaux	ATMI-658	ATMI-658 2051	
25559	7590	10/24/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ATMI, II		, D	MIGGINS, MICHAEL C			
7 COMMERCE DRIVE DANBURY, CT 06810				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	-			1772		
			DATE MAILED: 10/24/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	L		
10/658,034	ZAMBAUX, JEANPASCAL	ZAMBAUX, JEANPASCAL		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Michael C. Miggins	1772			

	Michael C. Miggins	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>10/10/06</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	ATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOV	VANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A biller in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		12 5010117,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		n be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>14,15,17-19,23-26 and 28-38</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a North date of the affidate of the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		,
13. Other:		Michael C. Miggins	ly -
		Michael C. Miggins Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 1772

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that claims 14 and 23 were rejected under 102. However, claims 14 and 23 were only rejected under 103, see final rejection of 6/8/06, paragraphs 4 and 5. Applicant argues that Herbert does not disclose a pyrogen-free bag. However, Herbert discloses that the bags are pyrogen free (column 8, lines 1-26). Applicant argues that Herbert does not disclose that the bags are sterilized by heating to approximately 253 degrees C for approximately 30 minutes. However, applicant's heating steps are method limitations in a product claim which are given little patentable weight since method limitations are not germance to the patentability of a product in a product claim (MPEP 2113). Applicant claims a pyrogen free bag which is disclosed by Herbert and therefore the combined teachings of Yokokoji and Herbert read on applicant's claims as currently written.